




Canterbury Civil Defence Emergency Management Residents Attitude and Behaviour Monitor July 2009

Prepared for:

Canterbury CDEM Group

**October 2009
Reference: 0709**



**Level One, 164 Lichfield St, Christchurch
Tel 03 374 9794 kselway@opinions.co.nz**

Table of Contents

	Page No:
1. Introduction	2
2. Research Objectives	2
3. Research Approach and Sample Structure	2
4. Executive Insight	4
5. Main Findings	10
5.1 Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury Within Lifetime	10
5.2 Possible Disasters in Canterbury During Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern	12
5.3 Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form	18
5.4 Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Major Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury	21
5.5 Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster	26
5.6 Preparedness for a Disaster	27
5.7 Community Resilience in a Disaster	29
5.8 Level of Preparedness For a Disaster	30
5.9 Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities, Water for at Least Next Three Days	32

Appendices:

Appendix I: Sub Group Results

Appendix II: Opinions Monitor July 2009: Civil Defence Emergency Management Questions

1. Introduction

Jon Mitchell, Regional Civil Defence Manager and Sara McBride Steele, Communications Officer, Canterbury Civil Defence Emergency Management Group (CDEM Group), requested Opinions Market Research Ltd measure people's preparedness and ability to cope with a civil defence emergency.

2 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research were:

- To measure people's disaster preparedness and the extent to which people would be able to cope i.e. their resilience if there was a serious emergency event right now.
- To monitor over time people's preparedness and ability to cope in a serious emergency.
- To bridge the findings between this local Canterbury exercise and the wider national Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management campaign monitoring research.

3. Research Approach and Sample Structure

Questions were placed on the Opinions Monitor, July 2009. The Opinions Monitor is a quarterly telephone survey typically conducted among a representative sample, aged 15 and over, of Christchurch residents and Cantabrians living outside Christchurch.

This survey was conducted with a total sample of 850 Cantabrians consisting of a representative sample of 400 Christchurch residents and an additional 450 Cantabrians living outside of Christchurch, aged 15 and over.

The 450 residents interviewed in Canterbury outside of Christchurch City, comprised of 150 from each of the following areas:

North Canterbury:

Kaikoura District
Hurunui District
Waimakariri District

Central Canterbury:

Ashburton District
Selwyn District

South Canterbury:

Timaru District
Waimate District
MacKenzie District

The sample within each area is representative in terms of location, age and gender based on the 2006 Census, as follows:

Base:	Canterbury 400			North Canterbury 150		
	Census 2006 %	Opinions Monitor %	Opinions Monitor No.	Census 2006 %	Opinions Monitor %	Opinions Monitor No.
Male	48	48	190	49	49	74
Female	52	52	210	51	51	76
15-29	27	27	107	18	19	28
30-59	51	51	205	57	56	84
60+	22	22	88	25	25	38

Base:	Central Canterbury 150			South Canterbury 150		
	Census 2006 %	Opinions Monitor %	Opinions Monitor No.	Census 2006 %	Opinions Monitor %	Opinions Monitor No.
Male	51	51	76	48	49	73
Female	49	49	74	52	51	77
15-29	22	22	33	19	19	29
30-59	57	57	85	52	52	78
60+	21	21	32	29	29	43

The data has been weighted where reported in total, or structured for reporting in terms of Canterbury (outside of Christchurch) versus Christchurch.

- Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) N=850 – weighted to be representative of the population distribution between Christchurch and the rest of Canterbury. The weightings were applied as follows:
 - Canterbury: actual achieved sample N=450 weighted down to N=276
 - Christchurch: actual achieved sample N=400 weighted up to N=574
- Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) N=450 – weighted to be representative of the population distribution between North, Central and South Canterbury
 - North Canterbury: N=150 weighted down to 143
 - South Canterbury: N=150 weighted up to 155
 - Central Canterbury: N=150 weighted up to 152
- Throughout this report where a base number is denoted by 'w:' it indicates a weighted base. An un-weighted base is not identified by any denotation

The results have been analysed by demographics including, age, gender, life stage, ethnicity, working status, rate paying status and location of residence.

The questions are appended (Appendix I – Sub Group Results) and were based on those asked in previous years or on those utilised in the National Survey. The findings have been compared with those from these related surveys where relevant.

The interviews were conducted 30 June – 26 July 2009.

Interviewing was completed by the trained and experienced Opinions Telephone Interviewing Team. The data was processed by the Data Services Team at Opinions utilising SPSS and reported by the Opinions Research Team.

4. Executive Summary

4.1 Introduction

Jon Mitchell, Regional Civil Defence Manager, and Sara McBride Steele, Communications Officer, Canterbury Civil Defence Emergency Group requested this exercise be completed to measure people's preparedness and ability to cope with a civil defence emergency.

This exercise was completed over the telephone between 30 June – 26 July 2009 with a representative sample, in terms of age, gender and location, of 850 Cantabrians.

Comparisons have been made with the national survey findings where possible.

4.2 Context

This research was undertaken at the time of the Influenza A (H1N1) Swine Flu pandemic. During the interviewing period, a sizeable earthquake occurred in Te Anau (15 July 2009), measuring 7.8 and there was flooding in Gisborne (30 June 2009).

4.3 Community Resilience in a Disaster

Similar to National Civil Defence Survey Findings, encouragingly nearly all Cantabrians considered it their responsibility to look after themselves and their family in a disaster (97%).

However, in addition there was a high level of expectation (agree strongly/slightly) that someone would be there to help (74%) and that emergency services would be there to help (76%).

15 – 29 year olds were most likely to think there would be someone there to help them (84%) although they were not more likely than others to think this would be emergency services. However, interestingly they for the most part, had similar levels of agreement (strongly/slightly) with other age demographics that it was their own responsibility to look after themselves and their families in a disaster.

Interestingly, 30 – 59 year old Cantabrians were least likely to think someone or the emergency services would be there to help them or that there would be adequately warning.

Many considered there would **not** be adequate warning before a disaster (40%).

4.4 Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury in Lifetime and of Most and Secondary Concern

NOTE: This paragraph relates to figures for **total** concern i.e. of most and secondary concern

In Canterbury an earthquake was the most likely disaster to occur during their lifetime (87%). This was followed by flooding (45%), a tsunami (27%), a storm or hurricane (12%) and a fire (11%).

In conjunction with earthquakes (primary concern) Christchurch residents also perceived flooding (42%) and Tsunami's (32%) of great concern.

For Cantabrians (excluding Christchurch) earthquakes and flooding were also the main concerns however snow was the third greatest concern (17%) - versus being the eight greatest concern for Christchurch Residents.

4.5 Local Community's Ability to Cope if Disaster in Most Extreme Form Likely in Canterbury

Resident's perception of their local community's ability to cope very well/quite varied by disaster. Perceived ability to cope with snow was highest (87% - with the highest level of agreement among Cantabrians (excluding Christchurch residents) - 90% versus Christchurch residents 71%). Snow was followed by a fire (72%), a storm or hurricane (68%), a pandemic or swine flu (67%), an earthquake (62%), and flooding (60%). Perceived ability to cope with a tsunami or tidal wave was significantly lower (36%).

A smaller proportion of 15-29 year old Christchurch residents (48%) felt their community would cope very/quite well versus 73% of other Cantabrians.

4.6 Actions to Enable Local Community to Better Cope

Overall information and education (38%) was deemed the best action to enable communities to cope across all Cantabrians (including Christchurch). This was followed by community interaction (22%) and preparation and practice (20%).

Information and education primarily consisted of providing information packs/fliers, more/better education and more awareness.

Preparation and practice consisted relatively equally of better planning, survival kits/food store and water and everyone in every household being prepared.

Community interaction predominantly referred to community meetings/groups and spirit and getting to know neighbours.

For Christchurch residents, information and education remained the number one action but community interaction at 27% was rated the second best action, and was rated higher versus other locations.

4.7 Importance of Being Prepared for a Disaster and Preparedness

Achieving the same results as the National Civil Defence survey, and on an encouraging note, nearly all Cantabrians (95%) consider it important to be prepared for a disaster, regardless of location, age or gender within Canterbury.

In terms of actual level of preparedness, there is a gap of 31% between Cantabrians (including Christchurch) perception of it being very/quite important to be prepared for a disaster and actual level of preparedness. This gap is predominantly contributed to by Christchurch residents with a gap of 34% in level of preparedness versus other Cantabrians (27%).

The 15 – 29 year olds are the least prepared (43%), especially in Christchurch (40% compared with other parts of Canterbury, 50%) and also to some extent males especially in Christchurch (58% compared with other parts of Canterbury, 63%).

Nearly all considered themselves to:

- have a good understanding of the types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand (South Canterbury slightly less so),

- and the chances of them occurring (92%),

- to have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (87%),

- to be familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages (82%)

- and to have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area (82%).

These proportions were slightly higher versus the National Civil Defence Survey.

Approximately half regularly update their emergency survival items (51%), have an emergency survival plan for their household (48%) and have stored 3 litres of water per person per day in their household (47%).

Approximately half of participants (48%) were identified to have an emergency survival plan for their household and of these approximately two fifths (42%) covered what to do if not at home.

Few attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning (9%), similar to National Civil Defence Survey.

Preparedness and in particular understanding of disasters was found to increase with age and possibly associated with this, direct experience of emergency events.

Females were also identified to be more prepared in particular in relation to being familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages and having an emergency survival plan for the household.

4.8 Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities, Water for at Least Next Three Days

Nearly all (95%) claimed to have enough food to last for at least the next three days. Just over four fifths (86%) had cooking facilities without electricity and nearly half (49%) had water excluding the hot water cylinder to last them for the next three days.

Christchurch residents were less likely than residents in other parts of Canterbury to have food, cooking facilities and water available for at least the next three days. 15 – 29 year olds were also less likely versus other age demographics to have food, cooking facilities or water available.

4.9 Overall State of Preparedness

Summarised below is the overall state of preparedness from the national survey, across Canterbury in total, for Christchurch and for each area within Canterbury. Also of note:

Christchurch residents were less likely versus other Cantabrians to be fully prepared, and to have commitment or understanding.

Overall, higher level of awareness (i.e. have an understanding of the types of disaster that could occur) across all Cantabrians (92%) versus the National Civil Defence Survey (81%).

Overall being unaware (i.e. no knowledge what disasters could occur) was identified as less for all Cantabrians (8%) versus the National Civil Defence Survey (19%).

Overall State of Preparation

	*National Civil Defence %	Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) %	Christchurch %	Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) %	Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)		
					North Canterbury %	Central Canterbury %	South Canterbury %
FULLY PREPARED <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have water and survival items** • Plan (including what to do when not at home)** 	10*	11	9	13	13	15	12
COMMITMENT* <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have water and survival items 	41*	44	41	49	54	52	41
UNDERSTANDING* <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck 	80*	82	80	87	87	87	86
AWARENESS* <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have an understanding of the types of disasters that could occur 	81*	92	91	92	97	92	87
UNAWARE* <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No knowledge what disasters could occur 	19*	8	9	8	3	8	13
Base: N=	1 000	w: 850	400	w: 450	150	150	150

* Data and model source: National Civil Defence Survey – Colmar Brunton 2009

** Statements as defined in the Opinions Monitor July 2009

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS

	*National Civil Defence %	Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) %	Christchurch %	Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) %	Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)		
					North Canterbury %	Central Canterbury %	South Canterbury %
Importance of Being Prepared (very/ quite important)	95*	95	95	95	94	94	95
Level of Preparedness for Disaster (quite /very well prepared)	50*	64	61	68	65	68	71
<i>Gap in level of preparation versus importance of being prepared</i>	45	31	34	27	29	26	24
Have Food For at Last 3 Days**	-	95	95	97	96	99	97
Have Water For at Least 3 Days**	-	49	46	58	58	63	68
Have Cooking Facilities For at Least 3 Days**	-	86	83	91	91	90	91
Ability of Local Community to Cope with a Major Disaster** (quite/ very well)	-	59	52	72	63	75	77
Base: N=	1 000	w: 850	400	w: 450	150	150	150


*Data Sourced National Civil Defence Survey – Colmar Brunton 2009

** Statements as defined in the Opinions Monitor July 2009

5 Main Findings


5.1 Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury Within Lifetime

Participants were asked 'Thinking about major disasters that could happen in Canterbury. What types of disaster can you think of that could happen in Canterbury in your lifetime?'



Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury Within Lifetime: by District Area (Cont.)


- South Canterbury
- North Canterbury
- Christchurch
- NATIONAL CIVIL DEFENCE SURVEY
- Central Canterbury
- Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)
- Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)





Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Weighted Canterbury (excluding Christchurch): 450, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150. National Civil Defence Survey 1000


5.2 Possible Disasters in Canterbury During Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern


Participants were asked which disasters they were most and second most concerned about.
















5.3 Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form


Participants were asked: 'If this major disaster was the most extreme it is likely to be in Canterbury, how well would you say your local community would cope?'



* small base size




* small base size




* small base size

5.4 Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Major Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury

Participants were asked 'What in your opinion, could be done to enable your community to cope better?'





Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Major Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury - Information and Education





Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Weighted Canterbury (excluding Christchurch): 450, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150.

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Major Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury - Community Interaction




Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Weighted Canterbury (excluding Christchurch): 450, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150.

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Major Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury - Preparation and Practice




Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Weighted Canterbury (excluding Christchurch): 450, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150.

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Major Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury - Warning Systems




5.5 Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster


Participants were asked 'How important is it that you are prepared for disaster?'



5.6 Preparedness for a Disaster


Participants were asked: 'How well prepared for a disaster do you feel you are?'





5.7 Community Resilience in a Disaster


Participants were asked to rate each of the following statements:




Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Weighted Canterbury (excluding Christchurch): 450, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150. National Civil Defence Survey 1000

5.8 Level of Preparation For a Disaster

Participants were asked which of the following statements apply to you.




Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Weighted Canterbury (excluding Christchurch): 450, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150. National Civil Defence Survey 1000




5.9 Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities, Water for at Least Next Three Days


Participants were asked if they would have enough food, water and cooking facilities for at least three days.



Trend

=






Trend

=







Trend

=








Availability of Water for at Least Next Three Days - Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

■ Definitely not ■ Probably not ■ Yes, have



Base: Weighted Total Canterbury (including Christchurch): 850, Unweighted Christchurch: 400, Unweighted North Canterbury: 150, Unweighted Central Canterbury: 150, Unweighted South Canterbury: 150

Appendix I: Sub Group Results

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Earthquake	93	95	93
Flooding	61	63	65
Tsunami/ tidal wave	46	57	36
Storm/ hurricane	27	22	24
Fire	19	22	23
Snow	10	16	13
Pandemic/ swine flu	5	8	4
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	5	2	3
Volcanic eruption	5	1	2
Plane crash/ plane disaster	-	3	2
Power outage/ power failure	3	1	1
Drought	2	1	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	3	1	1
Other	2	2	2
Don't know	3	2	2

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Earthquake	95	93
Flooding	62	64
Tsunami/ tidal wave	51	48
Storm/ hurricane	26	22
Fire	19	24
Snow	13	14
Pandemic/ swine flu	4	8
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	2	4
Volcanic eruption	3	2
Plane crash/ plane disaster	2	2
Power outage/ power failure	2	1
Drought	2	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	2	1
Other	3	2
Don't know	2	3

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
	172 %	285 %	394 %
Earthquake	93	94	94
Floods	60	64	64
Tsunami/ tidal wave	45	54	48
Storm/ hurricane	25	24	23
Fire	20	23	21
Snow	10	15	15
Pandemic/ swine flu	6	9	5
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	5	3	2
Volcanic eruption	7	1	1
Plane crash/ plane disaster	-	1	4
Power outage/ power failure	3	2	1
Drought	2	2	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	4	1	1
Other	2	2	3
Don't know	3	3	2

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
	628 %	223 %
Earthquake	94	93
Floods	63	64
Tsunami/ tidal wave	51	45
Storm/ hurricane	23	26
Fire	21	22
Snow	14	14
Pandemic/ swine flu	7	5
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	2	5
Volcanic eruption	1	6
Plane crash/ plane disaster	3	1
Power outage/ power failure	2	1
Drought	1	2
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	1	4
Other	2	2
Don't know	1	6

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Age

	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Christchurch Base	107	205	88
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Earthquake	94	98	98
Flooding	60	60	65
Tsunami/ tidal wave	54	62	41
Storm/ hurricane	27	21	19
Fire	20	22	26
Snow	6	8	6
Pandemic/ swine flu	7	7	5
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	7	2	5
Volcanic eruption	7	1	2
Plane crash/ plane disaster	-	3	2
Power outage/ power failure	4	*	1
Drought	1	*	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	5	1	1
Other	3	3	3
Don't know	3	1	1

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Gender

	Male	Female
Christchurch Base	190	210
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Earthquake	97	97
Flooding	58	64
Tsunami/ tidal wave	59	52
Storm/ hurricane	23	22
Fire	18	26
Snow	7	7
Pandemic/ swine flu	5	8
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	3	5
Volcanic eruption	4	2
Plane crash/ plane disaster	2	2
Power outage/ power failure	2	1
Drought	1	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	3	1
Other	3	3
Don't know	2	1

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Lifestage

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Young, no Dependents 90 %	Dependents 130 %	Older, no dependents 180 %
Earthquake	94	97	98
Floods	57	63	62
Tsunami/ tidal wave	51	59	54
Storm/ hurricane	23	24	21
Fire	21	24	22
Snow	6	7	8
Pandemic/ swine flu	8	9	4
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	7	3	3
Volcanic eruption	8	2	2
Plane crash/ plane disaster	-	1	4
Power outage/ power failure	4	1	1
Drought	1	1	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	6	1	2
Other	2	2	4
Don't know	3	2	1

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Ratepayer 288 %	Non-Ratepayer 112 %
Earthquake	98	94
Floods	61	63
Tsunami/ tidal wave	57	51
Storm/ hurricane	22	24
Fire	22	23
Snow	7	8
Pandemic/ swine flu	7	5
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	3	6
Volcanic eruption	1	7
Plane crash/ plane disaster	3	-
Power outage/ power failure	1	2
Drought	1	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	1	4
Other	3	3
Don't know	2	2

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Earthquake	90	89	83
Flooding	66	69	66
Tsunami/ tidal wave	24	46	27
Storm/ hurricane	26	25	32
Fire	18	22	18
Snow	22	30	27
Pandemic/ swine flu	2	8	4
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	1	2	-
+ eruption	2	-	1
Plane crash/ plane disaster	-	2	3
Power outage/ power failure	-	2	1
Drought	6	2	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	-	1	-
Other	1	2	1
Don't know	3	3	4

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Earthquake	89	86
Flooding	69	66
Tsunami/ tidal wave	34	39
Storm/ hurricane	33	22
Fire	21	20
Snow	26	30
Pandemic/ swine flu	4	8
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	1	2
Volcanic eruption	1	*
Plane crash/ plane disaster	1	21
Power outage/ power failure	2	1
Drought	5	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	-	1
Other	2	1
Don't know	1	6

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Earthquake	88	89	86
Floods	68	66	68
Tsunami/ tidal wave	25	44	36
Storm/ hurricane	29	24	29
Fire	16	22	21
Snow	23	29	29
Pandemic/ swine flu	1	8	6
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	1	2	-
Volcanic eruption	3	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	-	1	2
Power outage/ power failure	-	3	1
Drought	6	3	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	-	1	-
Other	1	2	1
Don't know	3	4	3

Perception of Possible Major Disasters in Canterbury within Lifetime by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Ratepayer 349 %	Non-Ratepayer 101 %
Earthquake	87	90
Floods	67	69
Tsunami/ tidal wave	39	30
Storm/ hurricane	26	30
Fire	20	20
Snow	28	28
Pandemic/ swine flu	7	3
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	1	1
Volcanic eruption	-	3
Plane crash/ plane disaster	1	2
Power outage/ power failure	2	-
Drought	2	5
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	1	1
Other	2	-
Don't know	4	3

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850		15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Earthquake	Most	58	62	59
	Second	31	25	25
Flooding	Most	15	16	18
	Second	25	29	31
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	12	8	6
	Second	13	23	13
Storm/ hurricane	Most	5	3	6
	Second	9	6	8
Fire	Most	4	5	4
	Second	7	7	9
Snow	Most	1	4	4
	Second	4	3	4
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	2	1	1
	Second	1	3	1
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	1	*	1
	Second	1	1	2
Volcanic eruption	Most	1	-	-
	Second	1	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	*	-
	Second	-	1	*
Power outage/ power failure	Most	1	*	*
	Second	1	*	1
Drought	Most	*	-	*
	Second	1	*	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-	-
	Second	1	-	-
Other	Most	-	*	-
	Second	1	*	2
Don't know		3	2	2

*less than 1%

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)		Male	Female
Weighted Base: 850		409	441
		%	%
Earthquake	Most	59	62
	Second	29	24
Flooding	Most	17	15
	Second	27	30
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	10	7
	Second	20	17
Storm/ hurricane	Most	4	4
	Second	9	7
Fire	Most	5	4
	Second	6	9
Snow	Most	3	3
	Second	3	4
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	3
	Second	1	3
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	1
	Second	*	2
Volcanic eruption	Most	*	-
	Second	*	*
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	*
	Second	1	*
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	1
	Second	1	*
Drought	Most	*	*
	Second	*	*
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Other	Most	*	-
	Second	1	1
Don't know		2	3

*less than 1%

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted		Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
		172	285	394
Base: 850		%	%	%
Earthquake	Most	54	62	61
	Second	33	25	25
Floods	Most	17	14	17
	Second	24	31	29
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	13	8	7
	Second	11	19	20
Storm/ hurricane	Most	4	3	5
	Second	9	8	7
Fire	Most	4	6	3
	Second	8	6	8
Snow	Most	1	3	4
	Second	4	2	4
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	3	2	1
	Second	1	3	2
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	1	1	-
	Second	1	1	1
Volcanic eruption	Most	1	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	1	-
	Second	-	-	1
Power outage/ power failure	Most	1	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Drought	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Other	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	1	1
Don't know		3	3	2

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)		Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Weighted Base: 850		628	223
		%	%
Earthquake	Most	62	55
	Second	25	31
Floods	Most	15	20
	Second	30	25
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	8	10
	Second	19	15
Storm/ hurricane	Most	4	4
	Second	8	8
Fire	Most	4	5
	Second	7	8
Snow	Most	4	1
	Second	3	5
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	2
	Second	3	-
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	1
	Second	1	1
Volcanic eruption	Most	-	1
	Second	-	1
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	-
	Second	-	1
Drought	Most	-	-
	Second	-	1
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-
	Second	-	1
Other	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Don't know		2	2

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Age

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400		15 – 29 107 %	30 – 59 205 %	60+ 88 %
Earthquake	Most	60	70	67
	Second	31	22	24
Flooding	Most	12	11	16
	Second	24	29	33
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	15	9	7
	Second	15	29	14
Storm/ hurricane	Most	4	2	3
	Second	9	5	7
Fire	Most	4	4	5
	Second	7	6	10
Snow	Most	-	1	-
	Second	3	*	1
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	3	1	1
	Second	1	4	2
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	1	*	1
	Second	1	1	3
Volcanic eruption	Most	1	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	*	-
	Second	-	1	-
Power outage/ power failure	Most	1	-	-
	Second	2	-	1
Drought	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Other	Most	-	-	-
	Second	1	-	3
Don't know		3	1	1

*less than 1%

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Gender

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400		Male 190 %	Female 210 %
Earthquake	Most	65	68
	Second	27	23
Flooding	Most	13	12
	Second	28	30
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	13	8
	Second	25	20
Storm/ hurricane	Most	3	3
	Second	7	7
Fire	Most	5	4
	Second	5	10
Snow	Most	1	*
	Second	1	1
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	2
	Second	2	4
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	1
	Second	-	3
Volcanic eruption	Most	1	-
	Second	1	*
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	*
	Second	1	*
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	*
	Second	1	*
Drought	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Other	Most	-	-
	Second	1	1
Don't know		2	1

*less than 1%

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Lifestage

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400		Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
		90 %	130 %	180 %
Earthquake	Most	57	69	70
	Second	33	22	23
Floods	Most	13	11	13
	Second	22	32	29
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	16	8	8
	Second	13	25	24
Storm/ hurricane	Most	3	2	4
	Second	8	8	6
Fire	Most	4	6	3
	Second	8	5	8
Snow	Most	-	1	1
	Second	3	1	1
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	3	2	1
	Second	1	5	2
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	1	1	1
	Second	1	1	2
Volcanic eruption	Most	1	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	1	-
	Second	-	-	1
Power outage/ power failure	Most	1	-	-
	Second	2	-	1
Drought	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-	-
	Second	2	-	-
Other	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	1	2
Don't know		3	2	1

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400		Ratepayer 288 %	Non-Ratepayer 112 %
Earthquake	Most	69	60
	Second	23	29
Floods	Most	11	16
	Second	30	25
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	9	13
	Second	24	18
Storm/ hurricane	Most	3	3
	Second	6	8
Fire	Most	4	4
	Second	7	8
Snow	Most	1	-
	Second	1	3
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	3
	Second	4	-
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	1	1
	Second	1	2
Volcanic eruption	Most	-	1
	Second	-	2
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	-
	Second	-	2
Drought	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-
	Second	-	2
Other	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Don't know		2	2

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450		15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Earthquake	Most	52	46	45
	Second	30	32	27
Flooding	Most	25	25	21
	Second	28	29	26
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	6	7	4
	Second	8	10	12
Storm/ hurricane	Most	7	4	10
	Second	10	9	12
Fire	Most	4	5	3
	Second	9	7	8
Snow	Most	5	10	10
	Second	9	7	10
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	2	2
	Second	-	1	-
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	-	-
	Second	1	-	-
Volcanic eruption	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	*	1
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	*	1
	Second	-	*	-
Drought	Most	1	-	1
	Second	2	*	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
Other	Most	-	*	-
	Second	-	*	-
Don't know		3	3	4

*less than 1%

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450		Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Earthquake	Most	46	47
	Second	34	27
Flooding	Most	27	21
	Second	26	30
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	5	6
	Second	9	11
Storm/ hurricane	Most	7	6
	Second	12	7
Fire	Most	5	4
	Second	8	7
Snow	Most	8	9
	Second	7	9
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	3
	Second	*	1
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	-
	Second	*	-
Volcanic eruption	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	-
	Second	*	*
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	1
	Second	-	*
Drought	Most	*	*
	Second	1	*
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
Other	Most	*	-
	Second	-	*
Don't know		1	6

*less than 1%

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450		Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Earthquake	Most	48	49	45
	Second	32	32	29
Floods	Most	28	21	25
	Second	29	29	27
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	4	6	6
	Second	6	9	12
Storm/ hurricane	Most	7	5	7
	Second	11	9	10
Fire	Most	4	6	4
	Second	9	7	8
Snow	Most	6	8	10
	Second	7	6	10
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	1	4	1
	Second	-	1	1
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	-	-
	Second	1	-	-
Volcanic eruption	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	1	-
Power outage/ power failure	Most	-	1	-
	Second	-	1	-
Drought	Most	1	-	-
	Second	1	1	-
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	-	-
Other	Most	-	-	-
	Second	-	1	-
Don't know		3	4	3

Possible Disasters in Canterbury during Lifetime of Most or Second Most Concern by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted		Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450		349	101
		%	%
Earthquake	Most	47	44
	Second	29	37
Floods	Most	23	29
	Second	29	25
Tsunami/ tidal wave	Most	6	5
	Second	9	10
Storm/ hurricane	Most	6	8
	Second	10	8
Fire	Most	4	7
	Second	8	7
Snow	Most	10	5
	Second	8	10
Pandemic/ swine flu	Most	2	1
	Second	1	-
Landslide/ mudslide/ road slip	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
Volcanic eruption	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
Plane crash/ plane disaster	Most	-	-
	Second	1	-
Power outage/ power failure	Most	1	-
	Second	-	-
Drought	Most	-	1
	Second	-	2
War/ terrorist attack/ riot	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
Other	Most	-	-
	Second	-	-
Don't know		4	3

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Base: 850	208	446	196
	%	%	%
Very/quite well	52	58	64
Very well	7	10	12
Quite well	47	48	52
Not very well	33	27	20
Not at all well	7	8	5
Don't know	6	7	11

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Male	Female
Base: 850	409	441
	%	%
Very/quite well	55	61
Very well	9	9
Quite well	46	52
Not very well	30	24
Not at all well	9	5
Don't know	6	10

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Base: 850	172	285	394
	%	%	%
Very/quite well	57	59	58
Very well	4	10	12
Quite well	53	49	46
Not very well	30	25	24
Not at all well	9	6	7
Don't know	4	9	10

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 850	628	223
	%	%
Very/quite Well	59	55
Very well	11	6
Quite well	48	49
Not very well	25	29
Not at all well	7	8
Don't know	9	7

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Age

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	15 – 29 107 %	30 – 59 205 %	60+ 88 %
Very/quite well	48	53	59
Very well	5	7	7
Quite well	43	46	52
Not very well	38	30	23
Not at all well	7	10	8
Don't know	7	7	10

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Gender

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Male 190 %	Female 210 %
Very/quite well	49	56
Very well	6	7
Quite well	43	49
Not very well	34	27
Not at all well	11	6
Don't know	6	11

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Lifestage

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Young, no dependents 90 %	Dependents 130 %	Older, no dependents 180 %
Very/quite well	50	51	53
Very well	1	6	8
Quite well	49	45	45
Not very well	34	31	27
Not at all well	10	7	9
Don't know	6	11	11

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Ratepayer 288	Non-Ratepayer 112
	%	%
Very/quite well	53	50
Very well	7	4
Quite well	46	46
Not very well	28	34
Not at all well	8	10
Don't know	10	7

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
	90	247	113
	%	%	%
Very/quite well	73	68	73
Very well	11	15	22
Quite well	62	53	51
Not very well	21	21	15
Not at all well	4	4	2
Don't know	2	7	10

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male	Female
	223	227
	%	%
Very/quite well	68	73
Very well	15	17
Quite well	53	56
Not very well	22	17
Not at all well	5	2
Don't know	5	8

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
	69	160	220
	%	%	%
Very/quite well	78	74	68
Very well	12	17	20
Quite well	66	57	48
Not very well	17	15	20
Not at all well	4	4	4
Don't know	-	6	9

Ability of Local Community to Cope with Possible Lifetime Disaster if in Most Extreme Form by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450	349	101
	%	%
Very/quite well	71	73
Very well	19	14
Quite well	52	59
Not very well	18	18
Not at all well	4	3
Don't know	7	6

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Information and education	37	42	28
Preparation and practice	26	18	16
Warning systems	6	10	6
Community interaction	19	23	24
Other	7	8	10
Don't know	22	15	20
Nothing can be done	6	7	10

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Information and education	38	38
Preparation and practice	21	19
Warning systems	9	6
Community interaction	19	25
Other	9	6
Don't know	18	18
Nothing can be done	8	8

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury by of most concern Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Information and education	35	40	37
Preparation and practice	26	17	18
Warning systems	6	8	9
Community interaction	15	25	23
Other	7	8	8
Don't know	22	16	18
Nothing can be done	7	8	7

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Ratepayer 628 %	Non-Ratepayer 223 %
Information and education	38	37
Preparation and practice	18	24
Warning systems	8	7
Community interaction	24	16
Other	7	9
Don't know	17	22
Nothing can be done	8	7

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Grouped Actions

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Information and Education 320 %	Preparation and Practice 167 %	Warning Systems 66 %	Community Interaction 189 %
Information and education	100	32	41	29
Preparation and practice	17	100	20	17
Warning systems	8	8	100	4
Community interaction	17	19	13	100

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Age

Christchurch Base	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Unweighted: 400	107	205	88
	%	%	%
Information and education	42	44	28
Preparation and practice	28	19	17
Warning systems	7	11	7
Community interaction	20	27	33
Other	7	7	7
Don't know	18	14	18
Nothing can be done	6	5	7

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Gender

Christchurch Base	Male	Female
Unweighted: 400	190	210
	%	%
Information and education	40	40
Preparation and practice	22	20
Warning systems	11	7
Community interaction	23	30
Other	7	6
Don't know	17	15
Nothing can be done	5	6

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Lifestage

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Young, no dependents 90 %	Dependents 130 %	Older, no dependents 180 %
Information and education	38	40	42
Preparation and practice	29	16	20
Warning systems	7	9	9
Community interaction	17	28	30
Other	7	8	6
Don't know	18	15	16
Nothing can be done	7	7	4

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Ratepayer 288 %	Non-Ratepayer 112 %
Information and education	40	41
Preparation and practice	18	28
Warning systems	9	7
Community interaction	31	16
Other	6	9
Don't know	15	20
Nothing can be done	6	5

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Grouped Actions

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Information and Education 161 %	Preparation and Practice 83 %	Warning Systems 35*	Community Interaction 106 %
Information and education	100	34	46	28
Preparation and practice	17	100	23	17
Warning systems	10	10	100	5
Community interaction	19	22	14	100

*Note: Small base size

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Information and education	25	37	28
Preparation and practice	19	18	14
Warning systems	4	7	3
Community interaction	15	15	8
Other	7	9	15
Don't know	33	18	23
Nothing can be done	9	11	16

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Information and education	33	32
Preparation and practice	18	17
Warning systems	5	6
Community interaction	11	16
Other	13	7
Don't know	21	24
Nothing can be done	12	12

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Information and education	25	40	29
Preparation and practice	19	19	16
Warning systems	4	5	7
Community interaction	11	17	11
Other	7	7	13
Don't know	35	18	22
Nothing can be done	7	11	14

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Ratepayer 349 %	Non-Ratepayer 101 %
Information and education	34	27
Preparation and practice	18	14
Warning systems	6	5
Community interaction	12	18
Other	10	10
Don't know	20	29
Nothing can be done	12	13

Grouped Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Grouped Actions

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Information and Education 145 %	Preparation and Practice 78 %	Warning Systems 26*	Community Interaction 60 %
Information and education	100	28	27	33
Preparation and practice	15	100	12	17
Warning systems	5	4	100	3
Community interaction	14	13	7	100

*Note: Small base size

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Better communication	1	5	5
More awareness	7	8	7
More/ better education	9	11	5
Information packs/ flyers	11	11	4
Community meetings/ community group:	12	6	8
Better or more warnings	2	3	1
Early warning needed	1	1	1
Everyone/ every household being prepared	4	5	2
Practice drills	*	1	3
Local knowledge/ local organisations	1	2	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	9	7	3
Better planning	8	3	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	7	4	4
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	3	11	13
Other	6	8	9
Don't know	28	22	25
Nothing can be done	8	10	14

*less than 1%

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Better communication	6	2
More awareness	8	8
More/ better education	9	9
Information packs/ flyers	6	12
Community meetings/ community groups	7	9
Better or more warnings	4	1
Early warning needed	1	2
Everyone/ every household being prepared	3	5
Practice drills	1	2
Local knowledge/ local organisations	2	1
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	6	6
Better planning	5	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	4	5
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	8	11
Other	9	7
Don't know	26	23
Nothing can be done	9	11

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters in Canterbury by of most concern Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Better communication	1	4	6
More awareness	6	7	8
More/ better education	9	11	8
Information packs/ flyers	9	12	8
Community meetings/ community groups	10	9	7
Better or more warnings	2	3	2
Early warning needed	1	1	1
Everyone/ every household is prepared	4	4	4
Practice drills	-	-	3
Local knowledge/ local organisations	-	2	1
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	8	7	6
Better planning	8	4	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	8	4	3
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	3	11	12
Other	6	9	8
Don't know	30	22	23
Nothing can be done	9	11	11

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Ratepayer 628 %	Non-Ratepayer 223 %
Better communication	5	1
More awareness	8	7
More/ better education	8	11
Information packs/ flyers	9	11
Community meetings/ community groups	8	7
Better or more warnings	3	2
Early warning needed	1	1
Everyone/ every household is prepared	4	3
Practice drills	2	2
Local knowledge/ local organisations	1	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	6	8
Better planning	3	7
Survival kits/ store food and water	4	6
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	11	6
Other	8	8
Don't know	23	28
Nothing can be done	11	9

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Age

Christchurch Base	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Unweighted: 400	107	205	88
	%	%	%
Better communication	1	4	3
More awareness	7	7	7
More/ better education	11	12	6
Information packs/ flyers	12	14	5
Community meetings/ community groups	12	6	11
Better or more warnings	2	3	1
Early warning needed	1	1	2
Everyone/ every household being prepared	5	4	1
Practice drills	-	2	2
Local knowledge/ local organisations	1	2	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	10	8	5
Better planning	9	2	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	7	4	5
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	4	14	17
Other	6	8	8
Don't know	23	20	23
Nothing can be done	7	7	9

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Gender

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Male 190 %	Female 210 %
Better communication	5	1
More awareness	7	7
More/ better education	11	10
Information packs/ flyers	7	15
Community meetings/ community groups	8	10
Better or more warnings	4	1
Early warning needed	1	2
Everyone/ every household being prepared	3	4
Practice drills	1	2
Local knowledge/ local organisations	2	1
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	8	8
Better planning	6	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	5	5
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	10	14
Other	8	7
Don't know	23	20
Nothing can be done	6	9

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Lifestage

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Young, no dependents 90 %	Dependents 130 %	Older, no dependents 180 %
Better communication	-	2	6
More awareness	7	4	9
More/ better education	11	12	9
Information packs/ flyers	10	14	10
Community meetings/ community groups	11	8	9
Better or more warnings	1	4	2
Early warning needed	1	2	1
Everyone/ every household is prepared	4	3	4
Practice drills	-	-	3
Local knowledge/ local organisations	-	3	1
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	9	8	7
Better planning	9	3	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	9	5	4
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	3	15	14
Other	6	9	7
Don't know	24	22	21
Nothing can be done	9	9	6

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Ratepayer 349 %	Non-Ratepayer 101 %
Better communication	4	-
More awareness	7	7
More/ better education	9	13
Information packs/ flyers	11	12
Community meetings/ community groups	10	6
Better or more warnings	3	1
Early warning needed	1	1
Everyone/ every household is prepared	4	4
Practice drills	1	2
Local knowledge/ local organisations	2	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	8	9
Better planning	3	7
Survival kits/ store food and water	5	7
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	14	6
Other	7	9
Don't know	20	26
Nothing can be done	.8	6

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Better communication	2	6	9
More awareness	8	10	6
More/ better education	2	9	5
Information packs/ flyers	7	6	4
Community meetings/ community groups	10	7	1
Better or more warnings	3	2	2
Early warning needed	-	2	-
Everyone/ every household being prepared	3	6	4
Practice drills	1	-	4
Local knowledge/ local organisations	-	*	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	5	4	1
Better planning	4	4	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	4	3	2
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	2	6	6
Other	7	10	10
Don't know	42	24	29
Nothing can be done	10	16	23

*less than 1%

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Better communication	8	4
More awareness	9	8
More/ better education	6	7
Information packs/ flyers	4	7
Community meetings/ community groups	4	7
Better or more warnings	2	2
Early warning needed	1	1
Everyone/ every household being prepared	4	6
Practice drills	2	1
Local knowledge/ local organisations	*	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	2	4
Better planning	3	5
Survival kits/ store food and water	2	4
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	5	5
Other	10	8
Don't know	31	28
Nothing can be done	16	17

*less than 1%

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Better communication	3	8	6
More awareness	6	14	6
More/ better education	3	9	6
Information packs/ flyers	6	10	3
Community meetings/ community groups	6	10	3
Better or more warnings	4	-	3
Early warning needed	-	1	2
Everyone/ every household is prepared	3	6	4
Practice drills	1	1	2
Local knowledge/ local organisations	-	1	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	6	3	2
Better planning	4	4	3
Survival kits/ store food and water	4	3	3
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	1	5	7
Other	6	8	11
Don't know	45	24	28
Nothing can be done	9	13	21

Actions to Enable Communities to Cope Better with Possible Lifetime Disasters of most concern in Canterbury by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Ratepayer 349 %	Non-Ratepayer 101 %
Better communication	7	3
More awareness	10	6
More/ better education	7	6
Information packs/ flyers	5	9
Community meetings/ community groups	5	10
Better or more warnings	1	4
Early warning needed	1	1
Everyone/ every household is prepared	6	1
Practice drills	2	1
Local knowledge/ local organisations	-	-
Knowledge of areas to go to/ meeting points/ shelters	3	5
Better planning	3	5
Survival kits/ store food and water	3	3
Community spirit/ getting to know neighbours and others	5	5
Other	10	7
Don't know	28	35
Nothing can be done	16	17

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Very/quite important	92	95	94
Very important	50	56	56
Quite important	42	39	38
Not very important	5	3	4
Not at all important	3	*	1
Don't know	-	*	*

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Very/quite important	91	98
Very important	50	59
Quite important	41	39
Not very important	7	2
Not at all important	2	*
Don't know	*	*

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Very/quite important	90	97	95
Very important	46	60	55
Quite important	44	37	40
Not very important	6	3	4
Not at all important	4	-	1
Don't know	-	-	1

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 850	628	223
	%	%
Very/quite important	96	91
Very important	58	47
Quite important	38	44
Not very important	3	6
Not at all important	-	3
Don't know	-	-

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Age

	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Christchurch Base	107	205	88
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Very/quite important	92	96	96
Very important	50	60	52
Quite important	42	36	44
Not very important	5	3	3
Not at all important	4	*	-
Don't know	-	*	-

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Gender

	Male	Female
Christchurch Base	190	210
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Very/quite important	91	99
Very important	50	60
Quite important	41	39
Not very important	6	1
Not at all important	3	-
Don't know	1	-

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Lifestage

	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Christchurch Base	90	130	180
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Very/quite important	90	98	95
Very important	46	63	54
Quite important	44	35	41
Not very important	6	2	4
Not very important	6	2	4
Don't know	-	-	1

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Unweighted: 400	288	112
	%	%
Very/quite important	97	91
Very important	59	46
Quite important	38	45
Not very important	3	5
Not very important	3	5
Don't know	-	-

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Very/quite important	83	97	91
Very important	50	51	64
Quite important	43	46	27
Not very important	6	3	6
Not at all important	1	*	2
Don't know	-	-	1

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Very/quite important	92	98
Very important	50	58
Quite important	42	40
Not very important	7	2
Not at all important	1	*
Don't know	-	*

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Very/quite important	93	97	93
Very important	49	54	55
Quite important	44	43	38
Quite important	44	43	38
Not at all important	1	-	1
Don't know	-	-	-

Importance of Being Prepared For a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Ratepayer 349 %	Non-Ratepayer 101 %
Very/quite important	95	92
Very important	55	48
Quite important	40	44
Not very important	4	6
Not at all important	1	2
Don't know	-	-

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Preparedness for a Disaster by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Base: 850	208	446	196
	%	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	43	68	76
Very well prepared	5	13	22
Quite well prepared	38	55	54
Not very well prepared	46	27	19
Not at all well prepared	12	5	3
Don't know	-	-	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Male	Female
Base: 850	409	441
	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	59	68
Very well prepared	11	15
Quite well prepared	48	53
Not very well prepared	31	28
Not at all well prepared	9	4
Don't know	-	*

Preparedness for a Disaster by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Base: 850	172	285	394
	%	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	41	67	72
Very well prepared	4	12	18
Quite well prepared	37	55	54
Not very well prepared	47	28	23
Not very well prepared	47	28	23
Don't know	-	-	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Ratepayer 628 %	Non-Ratepayer 223 %
Very/quite well prepared	70	45
Very well prepared	16	4
Quite well prepared	54	41
Not very well prepared	25	44
Not at all well prepared	5	11
Don't know	1	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Ethnicity

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	NZ European 746 %	NZ Maori 36* %	Asian 15* %	Pacific Islander 8* %	Other European 35* %	Other 10* %
Very/quite well prepared	66	63	24	48	44	43
Very well prepared	14	10	10	24	9	-
Quite well prepared	52	53	14	24	35	43
Not very well prepared	28	32	48	51	42	57
Not at all well prepared	6	6	29	-	14	-
Don't know	*	-	-	-	-	-

*Note: Small base size

*less than 1%

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Preparedness for a Disaster by Age

	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Base: Christchurch	107	205	88
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	40	67	76
Very well prepared	3	12	20
Quite well prepared	37	55	56
Not very well prepared	48	28	19
Not at all well prepared	12	6	3
Don't know	-	-	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Gender

	Male	Female
Base: Christchurch	190	210
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	58	65
Very well prepared	7	15
Quite well prepared	51	50
Not very well prepared	32	30
Not at all well prepared	10	4
Don't know	-	*

Preparedness for a Disaster by Lifestage

	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Base: Christchurch	90	130	180
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	38	64	71
Very well prepared	2	9	17
Quite well prepared	36	55	54
Not very well prepared	50	28	24
Not at all well prepared	12	7	4
Don't know	-	-	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: Christchurch	288	112
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	69	42
Very well prepared	15	2
Quite well prepared	54	40
Not very well prepared	25	46
Not at all well prepared	6	11
Don't know	-	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Ethnicity

	NZ	NZ	Asian	Pacific	Other	Other
Base: Christchurch	NZ	Maori		Islander	European	
Unweighted: 400	345	18*	10*	5*	17*	5*
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	65	55	20	40	41	40
Very well prepared	12	11	10	20	6	-
Quite well prepared	53	44	10	20	35	40
Not very well prepared	29	39	50	60	41	60
Not at all well prepared	6	6	30	-	18	-
Don't know	*	-	-	-	-	-

*Note: Small base size

*less than 1%

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Preparedness for a Disaster by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Very/quite well prepared	50	71	78
Very well prepared	10	16	26
Quite well prepared	40	55	52
Not very well prepared	40	25	19
Not at all well prepared	10	4	3
Don't know	-	-	1

Preparedness for a Disaster by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Very/quite well prepared	63	74
Very well prepared	20	14
Quite well prepared	43	60
Not very well prepared	30	23
Not at all well prepared	7	3
Don't know	-	*

Preparedness for a Disaster by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Very/quite well prepared	51	69	74
Very well prepared	10	16	20
Quite well prepared	41	53	54
Not very well prepared	38	27	22
Not at all well prepared	12	4	4
Don't know	-	-	-

Preparedness for a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450	349	101
	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	73	53
Very well prepared	19	11
Quite well prepared	54	42
Quite well prepared	54	42
Not at all well prepared	3	11
Don't know	-	-

Preparedness for a Disaster by Ethnicity

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	NZ European	NZ Maori	Asian	Pacific Islander	Other European	Other
Base: 450	409	16*	1*	2*	18*	4*
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Very/quite well prepared	69	82	100	100	50	50
Very well prepared	18	6	-	50	16	-
Quite well prepared	51	76	100	50	34	50
Not very well prepared	26	12	-	-	44	50
Not at all well prepared	5	6	-	-	5	-
Don't know	*	-	-	-	-	-

*Note: Small base size

*less than 1%

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Agree Strongly/Slightly	74	73	66
Agree strongly	34	26	25
Agree slightly	50	47	41
Disagree slightly	10	19	20
Disagree strongly	5	7	11
Don't know	1	1	4

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Agree Strongly/Slightly	71	76
Agree strongly	23	31
Agree slightly	48	45
Disagree slightly	18	16
Disagree strongly	9	6
Don't know	2	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Agree Strongly/Slightly	85	78	66
Agree strongly	35	28	23
Agree slightly	50	50	43
Disagree strongly	5	5	11
Don't know	-	1	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 850	628	223
	%	%
Agree Strongly/Slightly	71	82
Agree strongly	24	37
Agree strongly	24	37
Agree strongly	24	37
Disagree strongly	8	5
Don't know	2	1

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Age

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
	107	205	88
	%	%	%
Agree Strongly/Slightly	82	72	61
Agree strongly	33	27	22
Agree slightly	49	45	39
Disagree slightly	11	19	23
Disagree strongly	7	7	14
Don't know	1	1	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Gender

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Male	Female
	190	210
	%	%
Agree Strongly/Slightly	70	74
Agree strongly	21	33
Agree slightly	49	41
Disagree slightly	18	18
Disagree strongly	11	7
Don't know	2	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Lifestage

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
	90	130	180
	%	%	%
Agree Strongly/Slightly	84	77	63
Agree strongly	36	29	22
Agree slightly	48	48	41
Disagree slightly	11	16	22
Disagree strongly	6	5	12
Don't know	-	2	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Ratepayer 288 %	Non-Ratepayer 112 %
Agree Strongly/Slightly	69	80
Agree strongly	24	36
Agree slightly	45	44
Disagree slightly	19	13
Disagree strongly	9	6
Don't know	2	1

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Base: 450	90	247	113
	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	93	74	75
Agree strongly	37	24	30
Agree slightly	56	50	45
Disagree slightly	6	19	15
Disagree strongly	1	7	5
Don't know	1	1	5

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Male	Female
Base: 450	223	227
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	75	82
Agree strongly	27	29
Agree slightly	48	53
Disagree slightly	17	13
Disagree strongly	7	4
Don't know	2	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Base: 450	69	160	220
	%	%	%
Agree Strongly/Slightly	93	80	71
Agree strongly	35	27	26
Agree slightly	58	53	45
Agree slightly	58	53	45
Disagree strongly	1	4	7
Don't know	-	1	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster There Will Be Someone There to Help You” by Rate Paying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450	349	101
	%	%
Agree Strongly/Slightly	74	90
Agree strongly	24	40
Agree slightly	50	50
Disagree slightly	18	6
Disagree strongly	6	3
Don't know	2	2

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Agree strongly/slightly	82	73	80
Agree strongly	29	26	34
Agree slightly	53	47	46
Disagree slightly	12	19	10
Disagree strongly	5	8	6
Don't know	1	1	4

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Agree strongly/slightly	75	78
Agree strongly	25	31
Agree slightly	50	47
Disagree slightly	16	14
Disagree strongly	8	6
Don't know	1	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Agree strongly/slightly	82	79	73
Agree strongly	29	28	29
Agree slightly	53	51	44
Disagree slightly	12	14	17
Disagree strongly	6	5	8
Don't know	1	1	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 850	628	223
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	75	82
Agree strongly	26	35
Agree slightly	49	47
Disagree slightly	16	12
Disagree strongly	8	4
Don't know	2	2

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Age

Christchurch Base	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Unweighted: 400	107	205	88
	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	81	71	82
Agree strongly	27	26	34
Agree slightly	54	45	48
Disagree slightly	11	19	9
Disagree strongly	6	8	6
Don't know	2	1	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Gender

Christchurch Base	Male	Female
Unweighted: 400	190	210
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	75	78
Agree strongly	25	31
Agree slightly	50	47
Disagree slightly	15	14
Disagree strongly	9	5
Don't know	1	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Lifestage

Christchurch Base	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Unweighted: 400	90	130	180
	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	81	79	72
Agree strongly	29	26	29
Agree slightly	52	53	43
Disagree slightly	11	14	17
Disagree strongly	7	5	8
Don't know	1	2	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	Ratepayer 288 %	Non-Ratepayer 112 %
Agree strongly/slightly	75	81
Agree strongly	26	34
Agree slightly	49	47
Disagree slightly	16	12
Disagree strongly	8	4
Don't know	1	3

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Agree strongly/slightly	83	75	77
Agree strongly	34	25	34
Agree slightly	49	50	43
Disagree slightly	13	18	12
Disagree strongly	3	7	6
Don't know	-	1	6

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Agree strongly/slightly	75	79
Agree strongly	26	32
Agree slightly	49	47
Disagree slightly	17	14
Disagree strongly	6	6
Don't know	3	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Agree strongly/slightly	81	79	74
Agree strongly	27	32	27
Agree slightly	54	47	47
Disagree slightly	16	15	15
Disagree strongly	3	6	7
Don't know	-	1	4

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “In a Disaster, Emergency Services Would Be There to Help You” by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450	349	101
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	74	84
Agree strongly	26	38
Agree slightly	48	46
Disagree slightly	16	13
Disagree strongly	7	3
Don't know	3	1

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Agree strongly/slightly	47	33	46
Agree strongly	12	7	15
Agree slightly	35	26	31
Disagree slightly	30	29	27
Disagree strongly	20	36	22
Don't know	4	2	6

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Agree strongly/slightly	39	39
Agree strongly	9	10
Agree slightly	30	29
Disagree slightly	29	28
Disagree strongly	29	28
Don't know	3	4

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Agree strongly/slightly	49	37	37
Agree strongly	12	8	10
Agree slightly	37	29	27
Disagree slightly	30	29	28
Disagree strongly	19	31	31
Don't know	2	4	4

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 850	628	223
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	37	46
Agree strongly	9	12
Agree slightly	28	34
Disagree slightly	29	27
Disagree strongly	31	23
Don't know	4	4

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Age

	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Christchurch Base	107	205	88
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	43	31	44
Agree strongly	9	6	11
Agree slightly	34	25	33
Disagree slightly	31	27	32
Disagree strongly	23	40	19
Don't know	3	1	5

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Gender

	Male	Female
Christchurch Base	190	210
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	39	36
Agree strongly	7	10
Agree slightly	32	26
Disagree slightly	30	28
Disagree strongly	29	33
Don't know	2	3

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Lifestage

	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Christchurch Base	90	130	180
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	47	34	35
Agree strongly	11	6	8
Agree slightly	36	28	27
Disagree slightly	30	27	30
Disagree strongly	22	35	33
Don't know	1	4	2

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Unweighted: 400	288	112
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	35	43
Agree strongly	8	10
Disagree slightly	30	28
Disagree strongly	33	27
Don't know	2	3

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Agree strongly/slightly	57	37	48
Agree strongly	19	8	20
Agree slightly	38	29	28
Disagree slightly	26	32	17
Disagree strongly	10	27	26
Don't know	6	4	8

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Agree strongly/slightly	41	47
Agree strongly	14	12
Agree slightly	27	35
Disagree slightly	26	28
Disagree strongly	29	18
Don't know	4	7

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Agree strongly/slightly	57	42	41
Agree strongly	16	11	14
Agree slightly	41	31	27
Disagree slightly	29	32	23
Disagree strongly	10	22	28
Don't know	4	4	8

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “There will Always be Adequate Warning Before a Disaster Hits” by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450	349	101
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	41	55
Agree strongly	12	18
Agree slightly	29	37
Disagree slightly	28	25
Disagree strongly	26	15
Don't know	6	6

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation For “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Agree strongly/slightly	94	97	97
Agree strongly	55	70	73
Agree slightly	39	27	24
Disagree slightly	4	1	1
Disagree strongly	1	1	1
Don't know	*	*	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Agree strongly/slightly	97	96
Agree strongly	70	64
Agree slightly	27	32
Disagree slightly	2	2
Disagree strongly	1	1
Don't know	*	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Agree strongly/slightly	95	97	97
Agree strongly	54	71	70
Agree slightly	41	26	27
Disagree slightly	3	2	1
Disagree strongly	2	-	1
Don't know	-	-	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 850	628	223
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	98	94
Agree strongly	70	59
Agree slightly	28	35
Disagree slightly	1	5
Disagree strongly	1	1
Don't know	1	-

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Age

	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Christchurch Base	107	205	88
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	94	98	97
Agree strongly	53	72	72
Agree slightly	41	26	25
Disagree slightly	5	1	1
Disagree strongly	1	*	1
Don't know	-	-	1

* less than 1%

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Gender

	Male	Female
Christchurch Base	190	210
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	97	97
Agree strongly	70	64
Agree slightly	27	33
Disagree slightly	3	2
Disagree strongly	1	1
Don't know	-	*

* less than 1%

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Lifestage

	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Christchurch Base	90	130	180
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	95	97	97
Agree strongly	53	74	68
Agree slightly	42	23	29
Agree slightly	42	23	29
Disagree strongly	1	-	1
Don't know	-	-	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Unweighted: 400	288	112
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	98	93
Agree strongly	71	56
Agree slightly	27	37
Disagree slightly	1	6
Disagree strongly	1	1
Don't know	-	-

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Agree strongly/slightly	96	97	98
Agree strongly	61	68	75
Agree slightly	35	29	23
Disagree slightly	1	1	1
Disagree strongly	2	1	-
Don't know	1	1	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Agree strongly/slightly	97	97
Agree strongly	71	66
Agree slightly	26	31
Disagree slightly	1	1
Disagree strongly	1	1
Don't know	1	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
Agree strongly/slightly	95	98	97
Agree strongly	56	66	74
Agree slightly	39	32	23
Disagree slightly	1	1	1
Disagree strongly	3	1	-
Don't know	-	1	1

Community Resilience in a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for “It is My Responsibility to Look After Myself and My Family in a Disaster” by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Base: 450	349	101
	%	%
Agree strongly/slightly	97	98
Agree strongly	69	67
Agree slightly	28	31
Disagree slightly	1	1
Disagree strongly	1	1
Don't know	1	-

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	89	90	98
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	77	89	94
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	71	85	87
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	75	83	88
you regularly update your emergency survival items	37	51	66
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	31	48	61
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	30	48	58
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	9	7	12

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	92	91
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	84	89
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	76	88
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	84	81
you regularly update your emergency survival items	44	57
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	38	54
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	49	43
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	8	10

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Lifestage

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no Dependents 394 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	88	90	95
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	75	89	91
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	68	83	88
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	75	80	86
you regularly update your emergency survival items	37	48	59
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	30	45	55
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	29	41	56
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	8	9	10

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Ratepayer	Non- Ratepayer
	628 %	223 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	92	89
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	91	76
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	87	70
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	84	77
you regularly update your emergency survival items	56	36
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	51	33
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	50	32
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	9	8

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Age

Christchurch Base: 400	15 – 29 107 %	30 – 59 205 %	60+ 88 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	90	89	99
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	76	87	94
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	70	84	90
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	73	80	88
you regularly update your emergency survival items	34	47	65
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	28	46	60
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	26	46	57
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	9	6	7

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Gender

Christchurch Base: 400	Male 190 %	Female 210 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	92	91
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	83	88
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	75	87
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	81	79
you regularly update your emergency survival items	38	56
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	35	53
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	45	41
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	5	10

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Lifestage

Christchurch Base: 400	Young, no dependents 90 %	Dependents 130 %	Older, no dependents 180 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	88	89	95
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	74	88	90
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	67	82	89
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	73	77	85
you regularly update your emergency survival items	34	43	57
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	27	43	54
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	24	39	55
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	8	8	6

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Christchurch Base: 400	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
	628 %	223 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	93	88
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	90	74
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	87	68
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	82	74
you regularly update your emergency survival items	53	34
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	49	31
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	49	28
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	8	6

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	87	92	95
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	80	91	93
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	74	88	83
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	81	87	90
you regularly update your emergency survival items	45	57	67
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	39	51	63
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	39	51	59
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	7	10	22

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	92	91
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	87	92
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	79	89
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	88	85
you regularly update your emergency survival items	55	59
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	45	58
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	55	46
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	13	11

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Lifestage

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 220 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	88	90	94
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	78	90	92
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	72	87	86
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	81	87	89
you regularly update your emergency survival items	45	57	61
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	40	49	57
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	42	45	58
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	9	9	16

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Ratepayer 349 %	Non- Ratepayer 101 %
you have a good understanding of types of disasters that could occur in New Zealand, and the chances of them occurring	92	91
you have the necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster (e.g. tinned food etc)	92	80
you are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages	87	74
you have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area	87	85
you regularly update your emergency survival items	61	43
you have an emergency survival plan for your household	55	39
you have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household	53	44
you attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning	13	11

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: Those who have a survival plan: 397	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
	65	212	120
	%	%	%
Yes	48	47	30
No	44	48	61
Don't know	9	5	9

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: Those who have a survival plan: 397	Male	Female
	156	241
	%	%
Yes	45	40
No	50	51
Don't know	5	9

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Lifestage

Weighted Base: Those who have a plan: 397	Young, no dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
	51	128	217
	%	%	%
Yes	47	43	40
No	45	49	54
Don't know	8	7	6

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Ratepaying Status

	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Weighted Base: Those who have a plan: 397	322	74
	%	%
Yes	43	39
No	50	54
Don't know	7	6

Sub Group Results – Christchurch

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Age

Christchurch Base: Those who have a survival plan: 177	15 – 29 30*	30 – 59 94	60+ 53
	%	%	%
Yes	53	47	26
No	37	48	66
Don't know	10	5	8

*small base size, results indicative only

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Gender

Christchurch Base: Those who have a survival plan: 177	Male 66	Female 111
	%	%
Yes	42	41
No	55	50
Don't know	3	9

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Lifestage

Base: Those who have a plan: 177	Young, no dependents 24*	Dependents 56	Older, no dependents 97
	%	%	%
Yes	54	39	40
No	38	54	54
Don't know	8	7	6

*small base size, results indicative only

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Ratepaying Status

Base: Those who have a plan: 177	Ratepayer 142 %	Non-Ratepayer 35* %
Yes	42	40
No	51	54
Don't know	7	6

*small base size, results indicative only

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: Those who have a survival plan: 232	15 – 29 36* %	30 – 59 125 %	60+ 71 %
Yes	37	48	35
No	57	47	52
Don't know	6	5	13

*small base size, results indicative only

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: Those who have a survival plan: 232	Male 100 %	Female 132 %
Yes	49	37
No	44	55
Don't know	7	8

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Lifestage

Weighted Base: Those who have a plan: 232	Young, no dependents 27* %	Dependents 78 %	Older, no dependents 126 %
Yes	33	50	40
No	59	42	53
Don't know	7	8	7

*small base size, results indicative only

Level of Preparedness for a Disaster: Statement Evaluation for: “Does Your Survival Plan include What To Do When You are Not at Home” by Ratepaying Status

	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Weighted Base: Those who have a plan: 232	193	39*
	%	%
Yes	43	38
No	49	54
Don't know	7	8

*small base size, results indicative only

Sub Group Results – Total Canterbury (including Christchurch)

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Age

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	15 – 29 208 %	30 – 59 446 %	60+ 196 %
Food	91	96	99
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	78	90	83
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	31	52	63

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Gender

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Male 409 %	Female 441 %
Food	94	96
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	86	85
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	51	49

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Lifestage Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Young, no Dependents 172 %	Dependents 285 %	Older, no dependents 394 %
Food	91	95	97
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	77	89	86
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	33	44	61

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Ratepaying Status

Total Canterbury (including Christchurch) Weighted Base: 850	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
	628	223
	%	%
Food	96	93
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	88	79
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	55	33

Sub Group Results - Christchurch

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Age

	15 – 29	30 – 59	60+
Christchurch Base	107	205	88
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Food	90	96	98
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	74	88	81
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	26	50	59

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Gender

	Male	Female
Christchurch Base	190	210
Unweighted: 400	%	%
Food	93	96
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	83	83
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	45	46

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Lifestage Status

	Young, no Dependents	Dependents	Older, no dependents
Christchurch Base	90	130	180
Unweighted: 400	%	%	%
Food	90	95	97
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	73	88	84
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	27	39	59

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Ratepaying Status

	Ratepayer	Non-Ratepayer
Christchurch Base Unweighted: 400	288 %	112 %
Food	95	92
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	86	75
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	52	28

Sub Group Results – Canterbury (excluding Christchurch)

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Age

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	15 – 29 90 %	30 – 59 247 %	60+ 113 %
Food	96	96	100
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	90	92	88
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	44	57	71

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Gender

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Male 223 %	Female 227 %
Food	96	98
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	91	90
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	61	55

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Lifestage Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Young, no Dependents 69 %	Dependents 160 %	Older, no dependents 120 %
Food	96	96	98
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	88	93	90
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	51	52	65

Availability of Food, Cooking Facilities and Water for at Least Next Three Days by Ratepaying Status

Canterbury (excluding Christchurch) Weighted Base: 450	Ratepayer 349 %	Non-Ratepayer 101 %
Food	98	95
Cooking facilities (without electricity)	91	89
Water (excluding hot water cylinder)	61	48

Appendix II: Opinions Monitor July 2009
Civil Defence Emergency Management Questions

Questions Opinions Monitor July 2009:

Q1. On a scale of strongly agree, slightly agree, slightly disagree and strongly disagree, how much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

disagree disagree agree agree
strongly slightly slightly strongly

In a disaster there will be someone there to help you

In a disaster, emergency services would be there to help you

There will always be adequate warning before disaster hits

It's my responsibility to look after myself and family in a disaster

Q2. How important is it that you are prepared for disaster?

very important
quite important
not very important
not at all important

Q3. How well prepared for a disaster do you feel you are?

very well prepared
quite well prepared
not very well prepared
not at all well prepared

Q4. Which of the following statements apply to you?

You have a good understanding of types of disaster that could occur in New Zealand and the chances of them occurring

You have necessary emergency items needed to survive a disaster e.g. tinned food etc

You have a good understanding of the effects if disaster struck in your area

You are familiar with Civil Defence information in the Yellow Pages

You regularly up date your emergency survival items

You have an emergency survival plan for your household*

You have stored 3 litres of water per person for 3 days in your household

You attend meetings with community groups about disaster planning

None of these

Q5. *Does your survival plan include what to do when you are not at home?

Yes

No

Q6. Changing the subject, with what you have at home right now, would you have enough **food** to last everyone in your household **for at least the next three days**?...would you say...

yes, have enough food

no, probably not

or no, definitely not

Q7. With what you have at home right now would you be able to **cook** for **at least the next three days** if there was **no** electricity?...would you say...

yes, would be able to cook

no, probably not

or no, definitely not

Q8. With what you have at home right now would you have enough **water** for cooking and drinking for **at least the next three days**, excluding the water in your hot water cylinder?...would you say...

yes, have enough water
no, probably not
or no, definitely not

Q9. Thinking about major disasters that could happen in Canterbury. What types of disaster can you think of that could happen in Canterbury in your life time?

Q10. And, which of these are you personally most concerned about?

Open ended responses

Q11. And, which second/ next?

Open ended responses

Q12. And thinking about..... READ OUT EVENT OF MOST CONCERN. If this...was the most extreme it is likely to be in Canterbury, how well would you say your local community would cope with the...

my local community would cope: very well
quite well
not very well
not at all well

Q13. What, in your opinion, could be done to enable your community to cope better?

Open ended responses

Q14. And thinking about..... READ OUT EVENT OF SECOND MOST CONCERN. If this...was the most extreme it is likely to be in Canterbury, how well would you say your local community would cope with the...

my local community would cope: very well
quite well
not very well
not at all well

Q15. What, in your opinion, could be done to enable your community to cope better?

Open ended responses